1 2 3 4	VERNON A. NELSON, JR., ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 6434 MELISSA INGLEBY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12935 THE LAW OFFICE OF VERNON NELSON 9480 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 252 Las Vegas, NV 89123							
5	Tel.: 702-476-2500 Fax.: 702-476-2788							
6	E-mail: vnelson@nelsonlawfirmlv.com mingleby@nelsonlawfirmlv.com							
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs Eugene Goldsmith et al.							
8								
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA							
10	EUGENE GOLDSMITH,	CASE NO.:	2:16-cv-02066-GMN-NJK					
11	Plaintiff,							
12	v.	INTER	IM STATUS REPORT					
13	AARGON COLLECTION AGENCY (aka	SUBMITTE	D IN COMPLIANCE WITH					
14								
15	Defendants.							
	Plaintiffs EUGENE GOLDSMITH et al., and Defendants AARGON COLLECTION							
16	Plaintiffs FLIGENE GOLDSMITH et	al and Defend	ants AARGON COLLECTION					
16 17		•						
	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Aargon") and A	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED					
17	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and th	"Aargon") and A	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED					
17 18	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and th Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3.	"Aargon") and A	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss	"Aargon") and A rough their respections in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon—Filed 3/16/1	"Aargon") and A rough their respections in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20 21	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon—Filed 3/16/12. Smith v. Aargon—Filed 3/17/17	"Aargon") and A rough their respective in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20 21 22	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon – Filed 3/16/12. Smith v. Aargon – Filed 3/17/17 3. Chatman v. Aargon – Filed 3/20/13	"Aargon") and A rough their respective in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon—Filed 3/16/12. Smith v. Aargon—Filed 3/17/17	"Aargon") and A rough their respective in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon – Filed 3/16/12. Smith v. Aargon – Filed 3/17/17 3. Chatman v. Aargon – Filed 3/20/13	"Aargon") and A rough their respects in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	AGENCY (aka AARGON AGENCY, INC. ("Fried") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and the Interim Status Report pursuant to LR 26-3. Defendants have filed motions to dismiss 1. Landeros v. Aargon—Filed 3/16/12. Smith v. Aargon - Filed 3/17/17 3. Chatman v. Aargon - Filed 3/20/17 4. Pili v. Aargon - Filed 3/20/17	"Aargon") and A rough their respects in eight of the 19	ATTORNEY ARMAND FRIED ctive counsel, hereby submit this					

8. *Mercado v. Aargon* – Filed 5/30/17

Defendants assert these motions assert defenses that are substantially similar to defenses that could be relevant to all 19 matters. Given the pending Motions to Dismiss, the Defendants assert that any estimate of the time for trial, trial availability and viability of dispositive motions as required by LR 26-3 is premature.

The parties certify that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01), but they decline. The Parties have agreed to mediate this matter, and the stipulated cases before the Honorable Jackie Glass (Ret.) on February 23, 2018. The Parties have further agreed to conduct depositions of the Defendants, and Plaintiffs shortly thereafter if unable to resolve the matter at mediation.

If this matter does not resolve at mediation, Defendants will likely move for summary judgment in these matters in line with the arguments set forth in its motions to dismiss.

DATED this 29th day of January 2018.

THE LAW OFFICE OF VERNON NELSON

CARLSON & MESSER LLP

- 11			
- 11			
11			
17			

/s/ Melissa Ingleby
By: VERNON NELSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 6434
MELISSA INGLEBY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 12935
9480 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 252
I II NIII 00100

Las Vegas, NV 89123 Tel: 702-476-2500 Fax: 702-476-2788

E-Mail: <u>vnelson@nelsonlawfirmlv.com</u>
Attorney for Plaintiff Eugene Goldsmith et

al.

/s/ Stephen A. Watkins

By: Stephen A. Watkins, Esq. Cal. Bar. No. 205175

(pro hac vice)

5901 W. Century Blvd.., 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90045 Tel: (310) 242-2200

Tel: (310) 242-2200 Fax: (310) 242-2222

Email: watkinss@cmtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants, Aargon Agency, Inc.(incorrectly named as Aargon Collection

Agency); Armand Fried

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28